#### Golder Associates Inc.

1750 Abbott Road, Sulte 200 Anchorage, AK USA 99507-3443 Telephone (907) 344-6001 Fax (907) 344-6011 www.golder.com



January 7, 2008

Our Ref.: 073-95024.005

CRW Engineering Group, LLC 3940 Arctic Boulevard, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Attention: David Yanoshek

## RE: FINAL TEST WELL INSTALLATION REPORT PROPOSED MERTARVIK TOWN SITE NEWTOK, ALASKA

Dear David:

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Golder Associates Inc. (Golder) is pleased to present CRW Engineering Group, LLC (CRW) with this report concerning the water supply test well installation at the proposed Mertarvik town site. Golder has been contracted by CRW to provide technical support for the well installation project. Our service is part of a larger effort to relocate the existing village in Newtok, Alaska. CRW is contracted by the Village Safe Water (VSW) office and the Newtok Tribal Council (NTC) (CRW project #81201.00, VSW project # 02EH74).

### **1.1 Background**

A groundwater investigation was previously completed for the proposed Mertarvik town site<sup>1</sup>. Mertarvik will be located on the north side of Nelson Island in the area shown in Figure 1. The regional geology information for Nelson Island indicates that a series of relatively level basalt flows underlies the area. The thickness and frequency of the sediment and basalt layers is unknown in the Mertarvik area. A local spring is located within the town site area at an approximate elevation of 30 ft above mean sea level (MSL) (Figure 2).

Based on the previous investigation results, three test well locations were recommended for the area directly up slope of the local spring (Figure 2). Proposed well location No. 2 was selected as the location for the first test well drilled at Mertarvik by VSW. This location is at an approximate elevation of about 125 ft MSL, (Figure 2). The test well was installed by Denali Drilling under contract with the State of Alaska Village Safe Water (VSW) Program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Report prepared by Golder Associates, Inc, Geophysical Investigation of Subsurface Hydrology and Groundwater Extraction at the Proposed Mertarvik Town Site, Newtok Alaska, dated August 14, 2007, Golder Project Number 073-95024

#### **1.2 Purpose and Scope of Services**

The purpose of our services was to provide technical support and on-site documentation during the well installation project. Our general scope of services included traveling to the site and documenting a 24-hour pump test, collecting a groundwater quality sample for analysis, and reporting the results.

-2-

The scope of services performed by Golder included:

- Coordination with the driller, laboratory, CRW Engineering Group and VSW.
- Mobilization with field equipment for pump test, water level measurement and water quality sample kit.
- Received the water quality sample collected by the drillers and submitted the sample to a State of Alaska Certified Drinking Water Quality Laboratory (Analytica Group Laboratories of Anchorage) for analysis per the "Initial Class A Drinking Water Suite" as specified by the laboratory. Total lead was added to the standard parameter list.
- Prepared this report presenting a summary of the field activities, results, conclusions and recommendations.

### **2.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES**

### 2.1 Well Drilling & Installation

Well drilling and installation was performed using an air rotary drill rig operated by Ryan Ralston of Denali Drilling, Anchorage Alaska from October 11 to 26, 2007. A Golder representative traveled to the site to perform our scope of services. However, it was obvious that our services would not be needed for an unknown time due to unexpected well drilling delays. As a result the Golder person, mobilized off the site to avoid significant cost over-runs to the project. The well installation delays were caused by unexpected subsurface conditions and inclement weather. The water sampling kit and other equipment was left at the site for our return or use by Denali Drilling. It was later determined that Denali Drilling personnel would conduct a limited pump test and collect the groundwater sample from the test well.

Drilling progress was reportedly hampered by the unexpected thickness and frequency of unconsolidated sediments between relatively thin rock layers. These conditions required the drillers to case the borehole with 6-inch diameter steel casing to the maximum depth drilled of 110 ft below ground surface (bgs). The steel casing was then lined with 4-inch diameter PVC with a nominal 3-inch diameter, 6.7 ft long well screen and tailpipe. The stainless steel wire-wrap well screen is approximately 5 ft long with an inside diameter of 3.1-inches. The 6-inch steel casing was then pulled back to expose the well screen. A copy of the water well log and a generalized well construction drawing prepared by Denali Drilling is presented in Appendix A.

The well was disinfected with chlorine bleach following the completion and development of the well. Air lift methods were used to develop the well prior to the pump test. A 2-hr pump test was conducted rather than the planned 24 hr test because gasoline for the generator was limited and the weather and ice conditions in Baird Inlet hampered the delivery of addition supplies. The groundwater sample was collected by Denali Drilling personnel at the end of the pump test on

#### **Golder Associates**

October 26, 2007. The water sample was picked up at the Denali Drilling office in Anchorage by Golder personnel on October 27, 2007 and delivered to the laboratory on October 29, 2007.

### 3.0 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy encountered in the 110 ft deep borehole at the location of TW #1 included 21.5 ft of over burden consisting of organics and brown silt. These soils were underlain by a series of hard grey rock, probably basalt flows, interlayered with brown and grey silt to 104.5 ft bgs. To this depth, five layers of rock were encountered with thicknesses ranging from 2 ft to 20 ft. The silt layer thicknesses ranged from 4 ft to 20 ft with two layers of silt also containing rounded gravel. No groundwater was encountered until a fractured basalt aquifer was penetrated at a depth of 104 ft bgs. This depth is similar to the spring source area at 30 ft MSL (Figure 2). The basalt aquifer is only slightly confined because the static water level measured in the well at 98 ft bgs is only slightly above the top of the aquifer at 104 ft bgs.

### 3.2 Pump Test

The 2-hr pump test conducted by Denali Drilling resulted in no measurable drawdown in the well when pumped at a rate of 15 gallons per minute according to the information provided in the well log in Appendix A. This result suggests that the well can produce additional flow, however, the pump test was of short duration and the ability for the well to sustain 15 gpm or greater flow over a longer period can not be evaluated with the current data. In addition, groundwater level seasonal variation is of concern since the available drawdown from the static water level, at time of drilling, to the top of the screen is minimal at about 5.5 ft. Total available drawdown if a pump is installed within the screen is about 10 to 12 ft.

Note that a small diameter pump will be required to fit in the current well screen for future use. The pump would also need to be shrouded to direct water across the pump motor to prevent the motor from over heating. The 10-slot screen was telescoped in to the 4-inch diameter PVC liner. According to the Johnson Well Screen specifications available on their website, the screen installed is a model 3P/4T, W60 wire-wrap construction, with an open area of 19.9 square inches per ft of 10 slot screen. The total open area for a 5 ft long screen is approximately 99.5 sq in (0.69 sq ft). A 10-slot size screen with these specifications should be able to produce about 30 gallons per minute without significant well losses. This assumes an average groundwater entrance velocity across the screen of 0.1 ft per second, which is a common recommended entrance velocity to reduce chemical encrustation and corrosion in a variety of groundwater quality conditions<sup>2</sup>.

### **3.3 Groundwater Quality**

The analytical results indicate that the groundwater quality meets the primary and secondary drinking water quality standards presented in the State of Alaska Administrative Code 18 AAC 80, with one exception. Total iron, at a concentration of 0.357 milligrams per liter (mg/l) slightly exceeds the secondary standard of 0.3 mg/l. The analytical results are summarized in Table 1. A copy of the laboratory report is presented in Appendix B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fletcher G. Driscoll, Ph.D., Groundwater and Wells, Published by Johnson Division, St Paul Minnesota 55112, Appendix 13.1, Discussion of Appropriate Screen Entrance Velocities

### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions based on the test well installation and groundwater quality sampling results are as follows:

- The first deep borehole drilled to 110 ft bgs in Mertarvik encountered interlayered basalt and sediments. Groundwater was encountered in a slightly confined fractured basalt aquifer at about the elevation of the spring at 30 ft above MSL.
- A test well was successfully installed that appears to yield at least 15 gpm. The well screen design should be able to yield approximately 30 gpm without significant well loss if the aquifer can meet the demand. This well may meet the needs of Mertarvik in its present state, however, there are some concerns about the long-term use of the well and well design as noted below:
  - Even though the pump test indicates that the well yielded at least 15 gpm with no measureable drawdown over a 2 hr period, the available drawdown in the well is minimal at about 10 ft to 12 ft. If the groundwater levels become lower during periods of less recharge (i.e. winter) the yield of the well could be impacted by these seasonal fluctuations. A groundwater level monitoring program would be needed to verify the ability of the well to maintain flow through periods of seasonal groundwater level fluctuations.
  - A long-term pump test is required to evaluate if this well can sustain a suitable yield for Mertarvik. A typical pump test duration in unconfined to moderately confined aquifers is 48 hrs to 72 hrs, or potentially longer, depending on the response to pumping.
  - Well pumps are not typically installed inside a well screen in order to avoid cooling and sediment problems, and to reduce the distance the water has to be lifted to the surface to reduce electrical costs. In this case, the available drawdown is minimal so a pump may need to be installed in the screen. A small diameter pump will be required to fit in the existing well screen to maximize the available drawdown in the well and reduce the risk of the lower seasonal groundwater levels from impacting the well yield. This pump should be shrouded to cool the pump motor. During a telephone conversation with an employee at Alaska Pump, a shrouded pump in the 3.1-inch diameter screen is available that can produce 20 + gpm, but it may not fit inside the screen<sup>3</sup> or the shroud will reduce the flow into the screen because of the tight fit. If the existing well construction is used, a suitable well pump needs further investigation to verify fit and performance.
- Groundwater quality meets the primary and secondary drinking water quality standards, with the exception that iron is slightly above the secondary standard. There is no evidence to suggest this water quality may change with long-term use of the well, but if another pump test of longer duration is conducted in the future additional water quality analysis would be recommended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Telephone conversation on December 5, 2007 between Jan Deick of Golder Associates, Inc. and Dave Masters, Alaska Pump in Anchorage (907) 562-5449.

## **5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS**

The long-term use or yield of TW#1 can be evaluated by implementing a groundwater level monitoring program and a longer pump test on the existing well. The period of water level monitoring should extend through the winter and into the summer season at a minimum. The water level monitoring could be performed manually by having a local from Newtok visit the site once a month to measure the water level and provide the data to VSW. However, a pressure transducer and data logger could also be installed and retrieved when the monitoring period is concluded.

-5-

If the groundwater monitoring indicates that seasonal variation is of concern, or if installation of a second water supply well is considered, then deepening the existing TW#1 borehole and reinstalling a larger diameter well screen is recommended. The PVC liner will not be needed in the 6-inch steel casing so a 6-inch telescoping screen could then be installed. This should provide the following benefits of increasing the aquifer thickness, available drawdown, and allow for the installation of a longer and larger diameter screen that will allow installation of a more typical pump size. According to Ron Pichler (Denali Drilling), deepening the existing well is possible since the drill shoe was not removed from the steel casing. There are some risks according to Pichler in that the PVC liner and well screen may be damaged during its removal, but these items may not be needed for the new well, or that the 6-inch steel casing cannot be advanced after being left idle for a long period.

If the groundwater level monitoring results indicate that seasonal variation will not impact well yield, then a 72-hr or longer pump test is recommended considering that the aquifer is only moderately confined and the drawdown is limited. The test should be conducted using the small diameter well pump installed in the screen to verify it will not over heat and that it will meet the needs of the new town site.

## 6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared for the exclusive use of CRW. Golder services are conducted in a manner consistent with the level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by other members of the professional community currently practicing under similar conditions subject to the time limits and financial and physical constraints applicable to the services. No warranty expressed or implied is made. This report is not meant to represent a legal opinion regarding compliance with applicable laws. With respect to regulatory compliance issues, please note that regulatory statutes and the interpretation of regulatory statutes are subject to change over time and should be discussed with legal council.

The report is based on data and information collected during the investigation conducted by Golder personnel and is based solely on the conditions at the time of the site work as described in this report. Golder has relied in good faith on information provided by others noted in the report. We accept no responsibility for any deficiency, misstatements, or inaccuracy contained in this report as a result of omissions, misstatements or fraudulent acts of others.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Golder accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

If new information is discovered in the future or if additional subsurface investigations or testing are conducted by others, Golder should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions of this report and to provide amendments as required prior to any reliance upon the information presented herein.

#### **Golder Associates**

## 7.0 CLOSING

We appreciate the opportunity to work on this project. If you have questions or require additional information, please contact us at (907) 344-6001.

-6-

### **GOLDER ASSOCIATES**

Jan F. Deick, P.G. Senior Hydrogeologist

129 65

Robert G. Dugan, C.P.G. Principal & Senior Engineering Geologist

Attachments: Table 1

Water Quality Summary

Figures:

Figure 1 – Project Location Figure 2 – Well Location – TW#1

Appendix A Water Well Log

Appendix B Copy of Laboratory Report

CC: Jon Menough, Village Safe Water

JFD/RGD/mlm

## TABLE 1 WATER QUALITY SUMMARY TEST WELL #1 MERTARVIK, ALASKA

Parameters	Units	Drinking/Water Standard <sup>(1)</sup>	Well No, Date Sampled & Result
	A MARINE SAND		TW#1
Primary Inorganic Chemical Contaminant	- by EPA Method	200.8 or as specified	10/26/2007
Antimony	mg/l	0.006	< 0.0001
Arsenic	mg/l	0.01	<0.00015
Barium	mg/l	2	0.00187
Beryllium	mg/l	0.004	< 0.00015
Cadmium	mg/l	0.005	<0.00020
Chromium	mg/l	0.1	0.00543
Nickel	mg/l	0.1	0.000853
Selenium	mg/l	0.05	< 0.0005
Thallium	mg/l	0.002	<0.00005
Cyanide - SM4500	mg/l	0.2	<0.0040
Nitrate - SM 4500-NO3E	mg/l	10	<0.10
Nitrite - 4500-NO2-B	mg/l	1	<0.020
Mercury - CVAA 245.1	mg/l	0.002	<0.00020
Secondary Chemical Contaminants - by, El	PA Method 200.7		
Aluminum	mg/l	0.05-0.2	0.125
Calcium	mg/l	Not Established	6.21
Iron	mg/l	0.3	0.357
Manganese	mg/l	0.05	<0.0100
Sodium	mg/l	250	5.60
Secondary Chemical Contaminants - by El	PA Method 200.8		
Copper	mg/l	1.3 <sup>(2)</sup>	0.00314
Lead	mg/l	15 <sup>(2)</sup>	0.00767
Silver	mg/l	0.1	0.000455
Zinc	mg/l	5	0.0149
Secondary Chemical Contaminants - by El	PA Method 300 or a	s specified	
Chloride	mg/l	250	5.45
Flouride	mg/l	4.0 / 2.0	<0.20
Sulfate	mg/l	250	0.800
pH - EPA 150.1	pH	6.5 - 8.5	7.2
Color - 2120B	mg/l	15 color units	< 5.0
Odor - 2150B	T.O.N	1	No Odor
Alkalinity- Total -SM2320	mg/l CaCO3	Not Established	36.1
Corrosivity Langlier Index - SM2330	Lang Units	Noncorrosive	0.0
Total Dissolved Solids - SM2540	mg/l	500	76.3
MBAS Foaming Agents - 5540C	mg/l	0.5	<0.10
Coliform Bacteria -9223B-PA Aqueous			
E. Coli	Pass/Fail		Pass
Total Coliform	Pass/Fail	1	Pass
Volatile Organic Comnounds - "None Dete	cted by EPA Metho	di524.2 Aqueous"	

Notes: (1) Water quality standards in 18 Alaska Administrative Code 80.300

(2) Copper and Lead are regulated by Treatment Technique action levels that requires systems to control the corrosiveness of their water.

mg/l - Concentration in milligrams per liter

T.O.N. - Threshold odor number

Shaded result exceeds the referenced water quality standard

**Golder Associates** 

Page 1 of 1

073-95024

# FIGURES





# APPENDIX A

# No.4412 P. 2

## STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF MINING, LAND & WATER WATER WELL LOG

# Drilling Started: 10 / 11 / 2007, Completed: 10 / 26 / 2007

City/Boraugh;	Subdivision:	BLOCK	Lot	Property Owner Name & Address:				
Mertarvik	N/A	N/A	N/A	Village Safe Water, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501				
Meridian Scwa	rd Township 18N	Range	36W s	Section 3 , NE 1/4 of SW 1/4 of NW 1/4 of _ 1/4				
BOREHOLE DA	ATA: (from ground surfa	ce) Dept	h	Drilling method: # Air rotary,   Cable tool   Other				
Material: Type	, Color & wetness	From	To	Well use: D Public supply, D Domestic, Ø Other Test Well				
Organics & bro	wn silt	0'	21.5'	Depth of hole: 110 ft, Casing stickup: 3 ft				
Hard grey rock		21.5'	42'	Casing type: Steel Thickness				
Brown moist si	h	42'	62'	Liner type; PVC Diameter: 4 inches Depth; 103.5 ft				
Hard grey tock		62'	65'	Note:P.Y.C. stick_up_1.5_ft.				
Brown silt w/pe	a size round gravel	65'	69'	Static water (from top of casing): <u>101</u> ft on <u>10 / 26 / 2007</u>				
Hard grey rock		69 <sup>1</sup>	70'	Recovery rate: 15 gpm, Method of testing: Pumping				
Brown silt roun	ded gravel	70'	80,	Development method: Air Duration: 2 hours				
Hard grey rock		80'	82'	Well intake opening type: >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>				
Red/brown silt,	no gravel	82'	85'	Screened; Start: 103.5 ft, Stopped 108.5 ft				
Grey silt	~ <b>~</b> ~	85'	90'	Perforated; Start:ft, Stoppedft				
Hard grey rock		90'	100'	Start:ft, Stoppedft				
Grey silt		100'	104'	Note: Screen and tailpipe 6' 7"				
Black fractured	rock - water	104'	110' -	Grout type: Bentonite Volume 12 Cu. Ft.				
		~		Depth; from 0 ft, to 20 ft				
				Pump Intake depth: <u>N/A</u> ft				
				Was well disinfected upon completion? X Yes Do				
				Method of disinfection:				
				Driller comments/ disclaimers: Installed heat trace to bottom of hole Installed well seal in top of well.				
	·	<b></b>		Well driller name: Ryan Ralston				
	₩			Company name: Donali Drilling, Inc.				
	"",			City: Anchorage Slate: AK Zip AK 99507				
				Phone number : ( 907 ) 562 - 2312				
			·	Drillars signatures files files				
	- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Date: $11 / 28 / 2007$				
La <sub>k</sub> ,	سندي بر بيره <sup>ور</sup> به خوني رو مدوني و و محمد و يور مدوني							
Alaska state is forwarded to the 45 days (AK si 46.15.020 and are accurately	aw requires that a copy of he Department of Natural tatutes 38.05.020, 38.05.0 I AK regulations 11 AAC 9	this well k Resource: 35, 41.08 3.140). <u>F</u>	og be s within .020, <u>exes</u>	If the well is within city limits, the City of Anchorage requires that a copy of this well log be forwarded to the city within 60 days and another copy of this log be forwarded to the owner of the property, on which the well is located, within 30 days.				
Alaska DNR, 560 W 7 <sup>th</sup> Ave	 Division of Mining, Land a enue, Suite 1020	nd Water,		City Permit Number:				
Anchorage, A	K 99501-3562			Parcel Identification Number:				
Phone (907)26	59-8639 and fax (907)269	8947		Is well located at approved permit location? Yes ] or No				

 $\sim$ 

# Nov.29. 2007 8:34AM DENALI DRILLING

No.4412 P. 3

NOT DRAWN TO SCALE



Mertarvik Water Well drilled October 1007 by Denali Drilling, Inc., Ryan Ralston, drillr

# **APPENDIX B**



Client Sample ID:<br/>Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:<br/>Sample Matrix:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:<br/>COC #:<br/>PWS#:<br/>Residual Chlorine:AqueousComments:61206

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 12/3/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions: MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected

CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Lab#: A0710448-01A

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method						Prep	Pren	Analysis	5
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
9223B-PA (Aqueous) -	23B-PA (Aqueous) - Coliforms in DW						y: Analyi	ica - Ancho	orage
E. Coli	Pass	PASS/FAI L		1.0	1		10/29/20	00710/29/20	007PL
Total Coliform	Pass	PASS/FAI L		1.0	1		10/29/20	0710/29/20	007PL

Lab#: A0710448-01B

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method						Prep	Pren	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
245.1 (Aqueous) - Total Hg	5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Test was	conducted	by: Analy	rtica - Thorr	nton
Mercury	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.00020</td><td>0.002</td><td></td><td>11/1/200</td><td>7 11/1/2007</td><td>7 DL</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.00020	0.002		11/1/200	7 11/1/2007	7 DL
200.7/200.7 (Aqueous) - Se	condary Meta	als			Test was	conducted	by: Analy	tica - Thorr	nton
Aluminum	0.125	mg/L		0.050	0.2	200.7	11/1/2001	7 11/1/2007	7 RM
Calcium	6.21	mg/L		0.10		200.7	11/1/200′	7 11/1/2007	7 RM

Reported by: Steve Gaither,

Laboratory Project Manager



Client Sample ID:Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:AqueousCOC #:61206PWS#:Residual Chlorine:Comments:Comments:

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 12/3/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions:

MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Analysis Method						Prep	Prep	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
200.7/200.7 (Aqueous) - Secon	ndary Metal	s			Test was	conducted	by: Analyt	ica - Thorn	ton
Iron	0.357	mg/L	Η	0.050	0.3	200.7	11/1/2007	11/1/2007	RM
Manganese	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.0100</td><td>0.05</td><td>200.7</td><td>11/1/2007</td><td>11/1/2007</td><td>RM</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.0100	0.05	200.7	11/1/2007	11/1/2007	RM
Sodium	5.60	mg/L 🛛		3.0		200.7	11/1/2007	11/1/2007	RM
200.8/200.8 (Aqueous) - Prima	ary and Seco	ondary			Test was	conducted	by: Analyt	ica - Thorn	ton
Antimony	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.10</td><td>6.0</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.10	6.0	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Arsenic	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.15</td><td>10</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.15	10	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Barium	1.87	ug/L		0.25	2000	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Beryllium	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.15</td><td>4.0</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.15	4.0	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Cadmium	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.20</td><td>5.0</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.20	5.0	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Chromium	5.43	ug/L		0.15	100	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Copper	3.14	ug/L		0.10	1300	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Lead	0.767	ug/L		0.10	15	200.8	11/8/2007	11/9/2007	KS
Nickel	0.853	ug/L		0.15	100	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Selenium	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>50</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	50	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS
Silver	0.455	ug/L		0.10	100	200.8	11/8/2007	11/9/2007	KS
Thallium	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.050</td><td>2.0</td><td>200.8</td><td>11/8/2007</td><td>11/9/2007</td><td>KS</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.050	2.0	200.8	11/8/2007	11/9/2007	KS
Zinc	14.9	ug/L		0.25	5000	200.8	11/8/2007	11/8/2007	KS

, Litter

Reported by: Steve Gaither,



Client Sample ID: Sampling Location: TW #1 Client Project: CRW - Mertarvik New Well Sample Matrix: Aqueous COC #: 61206 PWS#: Residual Chlorine: Comments: Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 12/3/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

#### Flag Definitions: MRL = Method Reporting Limit

MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Lab#: A0710448-01C

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method			• • • • • • •			Prep	Pren	Analysi	s
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
4500-CNE/4500-CI	NB (Aqueous) - Total	CN			Test wa	s conducted	by: Anal	ytica - Tho	rnton
Cyanide	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.0040</td><td></td><td>4500-CN</td><td>11/5/200</td><td>07 11/5/200</td><td>07 KL</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.0040		4500-CN	11/5/200	07 11/5/200	07 KL
Lab#: A071044	48-01D								

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method							Prep	Prep	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	I	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
300.0/300.0 (Aqueous) -	Secondary	_			7	est wa	s conducted	by: Analy	tica - Fairl	banks
Chloride	5.45	mg/L		0.50	2	250	300.0	10/30/20	0710/30/2	007KAH
Fluoride	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.20</td><td>4</td><td>1.0</td><td>300.0</td><td>10/30/20</td><td>0710/30/2</td><td>007KAH</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.20	4	1.0	300.0	10/30/20	0710/30/2	007KAH
Sulfate	0.800	mg/L		0.75	2	250	300.0	10/30/20	0710/30/2	007KAH

Lab#: A0710448-01E

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well



Client Sample ID:<br/>Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:AqueousCOC #:61206PWS#:<br/>Residual Chlorine:Comments:

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 12/3/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

# Flag Definitions:

MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

<b>Analysis Method</b>							Prep	Pren	Analysis	
Parameter		Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
4500-NO2-B (Aqı	ueous) - Ni	itrite				Test was	conducted b	y: Analy	tica - Ancho	rage
Nitrite as N		<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.020</td><td colspan="4">1 10/29/200710/29/</td><td>07AJ</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.020	1 10/29/200710/29/				07AJ
T.1.4. A0710										

Lab#: A0710448-01F

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method					,	Prep	Prep	Analysis	5
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
4500-NO3E (Aqueous) -	Test was	conducted b	y: Analyı	tica - Ancho	orage				
Nitrate as N	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.10</td><td>10</td><td></td><td>10/30/20</td><td>00710/30/20</td><td>007AJ</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.10	10		10/30/20	00710/30/20	007AJ

Lab#: A0710448-01G

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method						Prep	Pren	Analysi	s
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
150.1/150.1 (Aqueous) - pH	t.:	Test was	conducted b	y: Analy	tica - Anch	orage			
pН	7.2	pН		0.0		150.1	10/29/20	00710/29/2	007AJ
2330B (Aqueous) - Langelier	Index				Test was	conducted b	y: Analy	tica - Anch	orage
Langelier Index/Corrosivity	0.0	N/A					11/1/200	07 11/1/20	07 SG
	Ν								

, JASKE



Client Sample ID:<br/>Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:AqueousCOC #:61206PWS#:<br/>Residual Chlorine:<br/>Comments:Comments:

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 12/3/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions: MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Analysis Method						Prep	Pren	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
2320B/2320B (Aqueous) - T	otal Alkalin	ity			Test was	conducted b	y: Analyi	tica - Ancho	rage
Alkalinity, Total	36.1	mg/L CaCO3		4.0		2320B	10/30/20	0710/30/20	07AJ
2540C/2540C (Aqueous) - T	DS				Test was	conducted b	y: Analyı	tica - Ancho	rage
Total Dissolved Solids	76.3	mg/L		20	500	2540C	10/31/20	00710/31/20	07AJ
2120B/2120B (Aqueous) - C	Color in DW				Test was	conducted b	y: Analyı	tica - Ancho	rage
Color, apparent	<mrl< td=""><td>Color Unit</td><td></td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td>2120B</td><td>10/29/20</td><td>00710/29/20</td><td>07PL</td></mrl<>	Color Unit		5.0		2120B	10/29/20	00710/29/20	07PL
Lab#: A0710448-01H									

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method						Prep	Prep	rep Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
2150B (Aqueous) - Odor @	60C				 Test was	conducted b	y: Analyı	ica - Ancho	rage
Odor	NO ODO	T.O.N.		1.0	10/29/200710/29/2007PL				
5540C (Aqueous) - Surfacta	nts as MBAS				Test was	conducted b	y: Analyi	ica - Ancho	rage
MBAS Foaming Agents	<mrl< td=""><td>mg/L</td><td></td><td>0.10</td><td></td><td></td><td>10/29/20</td><td>0710/29/20</td><td>07AJ</td></mrl<>	mg/L		0.10			10/29/20	0710/29/20	07AJ



Client Sample ID:<br/>Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:AqueousCOC #:61206PWS#:<br/>Residual Chlorine:<br/>Comments:Comments:

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 11/12/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions: MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Lab#: A0710449-01A

Sample Comment: Mertarvik Well

Analysis Method					<u> </u>	Prep	Pren	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
524.2 (Aqueous) - Ak DW V	OCs MWH				Test wa	s conducte	d by: MW	H Laborato	ories
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>200</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	200			11/2/2007	7 KCP
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/200′</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/200′	7 КСР
1,1-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>7.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2001</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	7.0			11/2/2001	7 КСР
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>70</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/200</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	70			11/2/200	7 КСР
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>600</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	600			11/2/2007	7 KCP
1,2-Dichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	7 KCP
1,2-Dichloropropane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2001</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2001	7 KCP
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>75</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2001</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	75			11/2/2001	7 KCP
Benzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	7 КСР
Carbon Tetrachloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/200</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/200	7 KCP
Chlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	7 КСР
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>70</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/200</td><td>7 KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	70			11/2/200	7 KCP
Ethylbenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>700</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/200</td><td>7 КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	700			11/2/200	7 КСР

Reported by: Steve Gaither,



Client Sample ID:Sampling Location:TW #1Client Project:CRW - Mertarvik New WellSample Matrix:AqueousCOC #:61206PWS#:Residual Chlorine:Comments:

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 11/12/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions:

MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Analysis Method						Prep	Prep	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
524.2 (Aqueous) - Ak DW V	OCs MWH				Test wa	is conducte	d by: MN	H Laborato	ries
Methylene Chloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	КСР
Styrene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	KCP
Tetrachloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	КСР
Toluene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>1000</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	1000			11/2/2007	KCP
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	KCP
Trichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
Vinyl Chloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.30</td><td>2.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.30	2.0			11/2/2007	KCP
Xylenes, Total	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>1.5</td><td>10000</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		1.5	10000			11/2/2007	КСР

Reported by: Steve Gaither, Laboratory Project Manager

Page 2 of 4



Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 11/12/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

### Flag Definitions:

MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Lab#: A0710449-02A

Analysis Method						Prep	Prep	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
524.2 (Aqueous) - Ak DW V	OCs MWH				Test wa	us conducte	d by: MW	H Laborato	ries
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>200</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	200			11/2/2007	КСР
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
1,1-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>7.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	7.0			11/2/2007	KCP
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>70</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	70			11/2/2007	KCP
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>600</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	600			11/2/2007	KCP
1,2-Dichloroethane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
1,2-Dichloropropane	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>75</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	75			11/2/2007	KCP
Benzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
Carbon Tetrachloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	КСР
Chlorobenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	KCP
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>70</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	70			11/2/2007	KCP
Ethylbenzene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>700</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	700			11/2/2007	KCP
Methylene Chloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	KCP
Styrene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	KCP



Client Sample ID:	
Sampling Location:	Trip Blank
Client Project:	CRW - Mertarvik New Well
Sample Matrix:	Aqueous
COĈ #:	61206
PWS#:	
Residual Chlorine:	
Comments:	

Analytica International, Inc. 4307 Arctic Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99503 Phone: 907-258-2155 Fax: 907-258-6634

 Report Date:
 11/12/2007

 Receipt Date:
 10/29/2007

 Sample Date:
 10/26/2007

 Sample Time:
 1:00:00PM

 Collected By:
 R/T

## Flag Definitions:

MRL = Method Reporting Limit MCL = Maximum Contaminant Limit B = Present also in Method Blank H = Exceeds Regulatory Limit M = Matrix Interference J = Estimated Value D = Lost to Dilution \*\* = RL higher than MCL; target not detected TNC = Too Numerous to Count - result rejected CF = Confluent Growth - result rejected TCNG = Turbid Culture No Growth - rejected

Analysis Method						Prep	Prep	Analysis	
Parameter	Result	Units	Flags	MRL	MCL	Method	Date	Date	Analyst
524.2 (Aqueous) - Ak DW V	OCs MWH				Test wa	as conducte	d by: MW	H Laborato	ries
Tetrachloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>' KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	' KCP
Toluene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>1000</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>′ КСР</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	1000			11/2/2007	′ КСР
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>100</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>' KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	100			11/2/2007	' KCP
Trichloroethene	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.50</td><td>5.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>' KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.50	5.0			11/2/2007	' KCP
Vinyl Chloride	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>0.30</td><td>2.0</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>/ KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		0.30	2.0			11/2/2007	/ KCP
Xylenes, Total	<mrl< td=""><td>ug/L</td><td></td><td>1.5</td><td>10000</td><td></td><td></td><td>11/2/2007</td><td>' KCP</td></mrl<>	ug/L		1.5	10000			11/2/2007	' KCP

Reported by: Steve Gaither, Laboratory Project Manager

Page 4 of 4

				Analytic	a Cha	in c	of Cust	ody Fo	orm								
ANALYTICA GROUP			12189 Pennsylvania Thornton, CO 802 (303) 469-8868 (303) 469-5254 fa	a St. 4307 41 Anch (90	Arctic Boule lorage, AK 99 907) 258-215 97) 258-6634	vard 9503 5 fax	475 Ha Fairbanks, (907) 456 (907) 456-	all St. AK 99701 5 - 3116 3125 Fax	5438 S Juneau (907)	haune Dr I. AK 998 780-666	lve 01 3	Chai	n of Custor	Page <b>iy No:</b>	612	∞1 206	
Client Name & Address:	\		Public Wat	er System (P	W81 10#		(007)100		(907)7	80-66701	ax						
Ucider Assecute	stre-		Project Na	me: / D 14	1 00.				-1	1945	80	iction To b	e Complete	d by Analy	/tica		
Anchorage, AK	195HE01			Chin	1112	.472	LY 0 . 14-	الكتوبة ب	eth	AU163003-1 A0710				10449	0449		
Report to: AN DEICK				Thursday						den an	$P_{i}^{a}(S_{i}^{a})$		9 A S			T	T
Phone No: 41-7-3-14-7	i.o.t		St	rumarou	na Time	tor	Results (	TAT)		Ιπνο	ice to Name	& Address	5:				-
Fax No: 417 - 344-1			-  <del>X</del> -"	andaru	Ex	pedite	Of I< 10 days, pn	or authorization req	(uired)		SA	me					
E-mail:	1.1.		Paguastad 5	Data day D			add'il charge	s may apply)		1	CI	ent !	EN O				
Special Instructions/Commerce:	rain u	m	Inequested L	de Date for Re	sults:				o-cara	100				June			
Sumpins cellected by	Denili D	helling	Parsinnel	L (Ryan	TTAN.	s)	on 10/:	26/07		P.O. 6	or Contract N	0: 1.73	Acus				
Reinivited by Gold	en (simp	eich) o	n 10/27/	07 at 11	Am		[				Requested	Analysie/Ma	- 13 C J	4.00	35		1.5
	1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 -						1.182	PU	St	1 Sector		ł			T	·····	<del></del>
Kit Prep/Shipping Charge: \$			T	T	Te	Τ.		EA	3>						1		
Client Sample identii	fication / Location	1	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Mainfix S-DW-WW-Othe	o. of Containen	8	WITIAL TE	0 E.HC	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14 14	÷			Field Preserve	Field Filtered	NSIMSD 7
PW#F						1 <sup>z</sup>	138	NH S		36	åĒ	38	36	- B			
TW#1			10131/07	1000	1.1	t		0	+	-						1.1	
Trip Blank			1.0120100	Lana	1~	12	-	B	X	1	ender an er						
	·····			1.00° pm		13	1		$\perp X$		10000						
( Part 1)	0.1			1.00				<u> </u>									
Vy reiformed by	Anchorac	je and	-	ļ													
Thornton on L	GN AUTIO	448								1.22							
								1	1								
					1			1	+						-		_
		annan an a	1		1				+-								
			1			$\vdash$											
Relinquished by:	Date	Time	Received by:	L	Date		Ti	L		1			1				
1. 1. 1	That-	0000	D	-	infast		HIME OF ST	11月1日	S. S. S. S. S.	an 194	Section T	o Be Comp	leted by A	nalytica			
Rélinquished by	12/03/17	0530	D		101201	2/	8: il	Con	dition c	r l	THO	4471	," a	JNR)		e Ethio	
Kanada ali	Uale	lime	Received by:		Date		Time	Cust	lody Se	al?:		NA					1
								Initia	iled By			the					
Kelinguished by:	Date	Time	Received by:		Date		Time	Tem	p/Loc			3.4 TH	5				1
								Ther	mo ID#		F	TRI					1
Name of Sampler: (printed)												File 1					
/ersion 2 0							Stores of St	Ship	ped Va	1.		Chier-T					



# **Cooler Receipt Form**

Client: Golder Assoc., Ir Project: CRW - Mertarvik	nc. C New Well	lient Code: (	009720		Order #:	A0710449
Cooler ID: 1						
A. Preliminary Examination	Phase:	Date cooler Cooler oper	opened: ned by:	10/29/2007 dc	· Signature:	Da
1. Was airbill Attached?	N/A	Airbill #:			Carrier Name: C	lient
2. Custody Seals?	N/A	How many?	0	Location:	Seal	Name:
3. Seals intact?	N/A			ACA X B		
4. COC Attached?	Yes	Properly Co	mpleted?	Yes	Signed by AEL em	ployee? Yes
5. Project Identification from	m custody pape	r: CRW	Mertarvik	New Well		
6. Preservative:	BlueGel		Tempera	ature: 3.4 deg. (		
B. <u>Log-In Phase</u> : Sarr	ples Log-in Date	ə: 10/29/2007	7 Log-in B	y: dc		
1. Packing Type:	Bul	blewrap				
2. Were samples in separate	e bags? Yes	5	•			·
3. Were containers intact?	Ye	5	Labels a	aree with COC?	Yes	
4. Number of bottles receive	ed: 6		Number	of samples receive	d: 2	
5. Correct containers used?	Yes	an spectromessage	Correct p	preservatives adde	d? Yes	بالمالية التوارية
6. Sufficient sample volume	? Yes		gal to Pa			
7. Bubbles in VOA samples	?. No					
8. Was Project manager cal	led and status d	iscussed?	No			
9. Was anyone called?	No Wh	was called?	6	By who	om?	Date:

COMMENTS:

Ŀ